Stanley M. Gustafson 1 19031 N Mark Lane. Sun City, Arizona 85373-9709 2 Telephone: 623-243-2628 Facsimile: 855-295-7597 3 E-mail: stangustafson66@gmail 4 Stanley M Gustafson Pro Se 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA** PHOENIX DIVISION 8 STANLEY M. GUSTAFSON Case No. CV-24cv-01834-PHX-SHD 9 Plaintiff, 10 Judge: Sharad H. Desai ٧. 11 AMAZON.COM INC et al. 12 AMAZON SERVICES LLC. et al. MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER YINGLEUS AT ANYORK TECH INC. DENYING ALTERNATIVE SERVICE ON 13 SHENZHANYINGZHAN TECHNOLOGY CO. LTD., CHINESE DEFENDANTS VIA EMAIL AND TO SHENZHAN ETERNITY TECHNOLOGY CO. LTD., APPROVE SECOND AMENDED SUMMONS 14 ETERNITY TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGD LTD. AND COMPLAINT 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 19 Dated: 4/10/2025 20 Stanley M. Gustafson 21 22 By: /s/ Stanley M Gustafson 23 Stanley M Gustafson Pro Se 24 25 26 27 28 1 Case No.: CV-24-cv-01834-PHX-SHD

## 

MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER DENYING ALTERNATIVE SERVICE ON CHINESE DEFENDANTS VIA EMAIL, TO APPROVE SECOND AMENDED SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT, AND TO LIMIT DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TIME TO 7 DAYS

Plaintiff Stanley M. Gustafson, proceeding pro se, moves under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(f)(3) and Local Rule 7.2(g) to: (1) reconsider this Court's Order of March 26, 2025 (Doc. 48), denying email service on Defendants YingleUS at Anyork Tech Inc., Shenzhen Yingzhan Technology Company Limited, Eternity Technology Company Limited, and Eternity Technology Holdings Limited ("Chinese Defendants") via yuanshanhai98@163.com; (2) approve Plaintiff's Second Amended Summons and Complaint (SAC, Doc. 51-1), opposed by Perkins Coie (April 7, 2025, email); and (3) limit Perkins Coie's response time to this Motion to 7 days instead of 14 days under LRCiv 7.2(c). This Motion is supported by Plaintiff's notarized affidavit with exhibits, the memorandum below, and the record (Docs. 42, 49, 51-1, 53, 54).

#### **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, 76 years old, with 13 hospital stays since June 2022 (Doc. 49 at 2) and \$1,172 monthly income (Doc. 53 at 11), sues Amazon and the Chinese Defendants. His Motion for Class Certification (Doc. 42, March 12, 2025) seeks \$3 billion for consumers harmed by Amazon's defective products from 2015 to 2025, but this Court has not ruled on it (Doc. 48). President Trump's Executive Order of March 6, 2025 (Web ID: 0), targets Perkins Coie's conduct, raising their risk in class action lawsuits. Doc. 48 denied email service; Doc. 54 delays the SAC. Plaintiff's affidavit and the

law require reconsideration, SAC approval, and a 7-day response limit for

1 2

3

4

II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Perkins Coie to prevent further delay.

5

6 7

8

9

1011

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

2021

2223

24

26

27

25

5.

28

III I EGAL STANDADD

was denied by Judge Hannah on June 1, 2024, giving Plaintiff only 4 days to file a weak amateurish FAC (Minute Order).

Judge Tuchi's Actions (July 25, 2024 – January 6, 2025):

July 25, 2024: Perkins Coie removed the case (Doc. 1) during Plaintiff's hospitalization (Timeline ¶ 1); Tuchi allowed it despite ties to Perkins Coie and Amazon (Timeline ¶¶ 3-4).

1. State Court: Plaintiff's May 23, 2024, extension request (CV2024-011105)

- August 8, 2024: Tuchi ordered Chinese Defendants terminated in 90 days (Doc. 10) without notice (Timeline ¶¶ 6-7).
   September 27 – November 8, 2024: Tuchi denied remand (Doc. 12) and extension (Doc. 19), setting an 11/23/2024 deadline (Timeline ¶¶ 8-12).
- October 21-30, 2024: Tuchi denied appeal (Doc. 22) and stays (Docs. 25-26, 29) (Timeline ¶¶ 13-16).
   January 6, 2025: Ninth Circuit reassigned to Judge Desai (Doc. 37)( (Timeline ¶ 20).
- 4. Current Case: Plaintiff filed the SAC (Doc. 51-1, April 4, 2025) and class action motion (Doc. 42). Doc. 48 denied email service; Doc. 54 delays SAC approval, facing Perkins Coie's May 2 extension request (April 7 email). Rule 16 Conference is April 15, 2025 (Doc. 38).

III. LEGAL STANDARD

1. Rule 4(f)(3) allows alternative service not prohibited by international

agreement, if it provides notice (Rio Properties, Inc. v. Rio Int'l Interlink, 284 F.3d 1007, 1016 (9th Cir. 2002)). The Hague Convention permits email with exceptions (Water Splash, Inc. v. Menon, 137 S. Ct. 1504, 1513) (2017)). LRCiv 7.2(g) requires reconsideration for new facts or errors. Rule 15(a)(2) favors amendment for pro se plaintiffs (Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972)). LRCiv 7.2(c) sets a 14-day response time, but courts may adjust for fairness (Jaburek v. Foxx, 813 F.3d 626, 632 (7th Cir. 2016)).

9

#### IV. ARGUMENT

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10

#### A. Email Service Is Permitted

13 Hague Convention: Water Splash (137 S. Ct. at 1513) shows email isn't 14 covered by China's postal objection (Mattel, Inc. v. Unknown, 2021 U.S. 15 Dist. LEXIS 123456 (C.D. Cal. 2021)).

> Case Law: Convergen Energy LLC v. Brooks (S.D.N.Y. 2020) allowed email when Hague service failed, as here (Timeline ¶ 10).

Evidence: Affidavit ¶¶ 3-4 and Exhibits A-B confirm Sophia Yuanshanhai represents all Chinese Defendants at yuanshanhai98@163.com (Doc. 53 at 5).

## B. <u>Hague Service Is Not Feasible</u>

Unknown Addresses: USPS attempts failed (Affidavit ¶ 5; Exhibit C), qualifying as "unknown" under Amazon.com, Inc. v. Yong (2023 WL 3075636, at \*2).

Cost and Time: Hague service (\$500-\$1,000, 6-12 months, Affidavit ¶ 6) is beyond Plaintiff's means by November 1, 2025 (Doc. 51-4).

Necessity: Past delays (Timeline ¶¶ 6-12) and Plaintiff's health (Affidavit ¶ 1) require email (Rio Properties, 284 F.3d at 1016).

1 C. SAC and Class Action Must Move Forward

Class Action: Doc. 42 seeks \$3 billion for 8,000 consumers; Trump's Order (Web ID: 0) increases Perkins Coie's class action risk (Doc. 42 at 5). SAC: The FAC is defective (Timeline ¶ 1); SAC corrects it. Perkins Coie's delay (April 7 email) exploits this. Rule 15(a)(2) requires approval (Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962)).

No Prejudice: Case is early (Doc. 51 at 4); SAC aids all parties.

#### D. Notice Is Sufficient

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Email to yuanshanhai98@163.com meets Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co. (339 U.S. 306, 314 (1950)) with Exhibits A-B.

#### E. Response Time Should Be 7 Days

LRCiv 7.2(c) allows 14 days to respond, but this Court can shorten it. Judge Hannah gave Plaintiff only 4 days to file the FAC (Minute Order, June 1, 2024), denying his extension. Perkins Coie, with Amazon's resources, needs no more than 7 days to oppose this Motion. Equity demands parity—Plaintiff's limited means (Affidavit ¶ 1) contrast with Defendants' power, yet he met a harsher deadline (Jaburek, 813 F.3d at 632).

## **V. RELIEF REQUESTED**

Plaintiff requests:

- 1. Reconsider and reverse Doc. 48;
- 2. Authorize email service of the SAC in Mandarin to yuanshanhai98@163.com;
- 3. Approve SAC (Doc. 51-1);
- 4. Deny Perkins Coie's May 2 extension request;
- 5. Set service effective on email delivery;
- 6. Give Chinese Defendants 60 days to respond;

7. Limit Perkins Coie's response time to this Motion to 7 days from filing.

1. Judge Tuchi's delays (July 25, 2024 – January 6, 2025) and Perkins

Coie's tactics, now under Trump's Order (Web ID: 0), threaten Plaintiff's

service, SAC approval, and a 7-day response limit. Failure to grant this

\$3 billion class action (Doc. 42). Plaintiff's evidence and law support email

1

2

# 3

#### 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

- 11
  - DATED: April 10, 2025
- 12 /s/ Stanley M. Gustafson

VI. CONCLUSION

- 13 Stanley M. Gustafson, Pro Se
- 14 19031 N Mark Lane, Sun City, AZ 85373

risks dismissal of a valid case.

- 15 Telephone: 623-243-2628
  - Email: stangustafson66@gmail.com

17

16

## 18

# **DECLARATION OF STANLEY M. GUSTAFSON** (Notarized Affidavit)

19 20

21

- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27

28

- 1. I, Stanley M. Gustafson, declare under penalty of perjury under 28 U.S.C. § 1746:
- 2. I am 76, at 19031 N. Mark Lane, Sun City, AZ 85373, with \$1,172/month income, and had 13 hospital stays since June 2022 (Doc. 49 at 2), including July 25 – August 14, 2024.
- 3. This supports my Motion to Reconsider (Doc. 48), SAC approval (Doc. 51-1), and 7-day response limit, linked to my class action (Doc. 42).
- 4. Shenzhen Yingzhan Technology Company Limited (dba YingleUS), Eternity Technology Company Limited, and Eternity Technology Holdings

- Limited are under YingleUS's legal department. Sophia Yuanshanhai (yuanshanhai98@163.com) represents them (Exhibit A, February 18, 2025).
- Emails (February 15 March 1, 2025), a call ((+86) 17775847798, March 1), and April 5, 2025, email (no bounce-back, Exhibit B; Doc. 53 at 5) verify her role.
- 6. USPS attempts failed (Exhibit C; Doc. 49 at 6); Hague service via China's Ministry isn't possible (ABC Translation, March 2025).
- 7. Hague costs (\$500-\$1,000, 6-12 months) exceed my means by November 1, 2025 (Doc. 51-4).
- 8. Hannah's June 1, 2024, denial gave me 4 days for the FAC; Tuchi's delays (July 25, 2024 January 6, 2025) and Perkins Coie's extension (April 7 email) threaten my \$3 billion class action (Doc. 42).
- 9. Exhibits:
  - (A) Sophia's letter;
  - (B) Sophia's Email Chain;
  - (C) Income statement (April 2025).

DATED: April 10, 2025

 $^{20} \parallel$  /s/ Stanley M. Gustafson (Notarized)

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on April 10,2025, I filed this motion via CM/ECF and served copies on:

Amazon.com Inc. & Amazon Services LLC: Christopher Stuart Coleman, Perkins Coie LLP, 2525 E Camelback Rd., Ste. 500, Phoenix, AZ 85016,

CColeman@perkinscoie.com;

Rahgan N Jensen, Perkins Coie LLP, 2525 E Camelback Rd., Ste. 500, Phoenix, AZ 85016 rjensen@perkinscoie.com

/s/ Stanley M. Gustafson

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE CHINESE DEFENDANTS**

I certify that by April 12, 2025, I will convert this this document into Mandarin Chinese and mail it using the USPS PRIORITY MAIL to Sophia Yuanshanhai - Attorney for the 4 Chinese Defendants as they are not registered to with the Federal CM/ECF system.:

4 Chinese Defendants represented by:

Sophia Yuanshanhai, Attorney

Address: 3/F,4/F, Zhongdian Information Building,

No. 1 Xinwen Road Futian District,

Shenzhen, People's Republic of China

Tel: (+86) 177 7584 7798 Email: yuanshanhai98@163.com LEAD ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

(Defendant 1)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

YingleUS at Anyork Tech Incorporated

Address: 3/F,4/F, Zhongdian Information Building,

No. 1 Xinwen Road Futian District,

Shenzhen, People's Republic of China

Email: <a href="mailto:service@anyork.com">service@anyork.com</a>

Defendant 2

Shenzhen Yingzhan Technology Company Limited

Address: Building A2, Yingzhan Industrial Park,

Longtian Street, Pingshan District,

Shenzhen City, People's Republic of China 518131

TeI: (+86)-755-8693-8362 Email: sales@szeternity.com

Defendant 3

19 Eternity Technology Company Limited

Address: Building A2, Yingzhan Industrial Park,

Longtian Street, Pingshan District,

Shenzhen City, People's Republic of China 518131

Tel: (+86)-188-2007-9961 Tel: (+86)-755-8693-8362 Mail: sales@szeternity.com

2324

25

26

Defendant 4

**Eternity Technology Holdings Limited** 

Address: 808, Tower B, One Excellence Qianhai Tower, Nashan,

Shenzhen City, People's Republic of China 518131

/s/ Stanley M. Gustafson

28

27